PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

١	REC'D	12	MAY	2005
ļ	WIPO			PCT

rom the				
NTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	 1		DOM	
To:			PCT	
MARINA F. CUNNINGHAM	1			
MCCORMICK, PAULDING & HUBER LLP 185 ASYLUM STREET,		WDI'	TTEN OPINION OF THE	
CITYPLACE II		LA W Olta a la certaia	NAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY	
HARTFORD, CT 06103-3402	11	NIEKNATIO	NAL BEINGIEN TO THE STATE OF	
			(PCT Rule 43bis.1)	
			10 MAY 2005	
		te of mailing ry/month/year)	LO III II COVO	
il Cil eference	FC	FOR FURTHER ACTION		
Applicant's or agent's file reference		See paragraph 2 below		
6740-0075WO			Priority date (day/month/year)	
International application No. International	filing date (day)	/month/year)		
PCT/US05/01985 20 January 2	005 (20.01.200	5)	22 January 2004 (22.01.2004)	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national	al classification	and IPC		
IPC(7): H01M 8/00, 02 and US Cl.: 429/34-36				
Applicant				
HENKEL CORPORATION				
1. This opinion contains indications relating to the fo	ollowing items:		Ì	
N to the section				
Box No. I Basis of the opinion				
Box No. II Priority			10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.10.1	
Box No. III Non-establishment of opi	inion with regard	d to novelty, inv	entive step and industrial applicability	
Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention				
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to no applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement.			to novelty, inventive step or industrial	
l <u> </u>	nd explanations	supporting such		
Box No. VI Certain documents cited				
Box No. VII Certain defects in the int				
Box No. VIII Certain observations on	the international	l application		
2. FURTHER ACTION				
I .	nation is made,	this opinion wil	be considered to be a written opinion of the	
If a demand for international preliminary exami International Preliminary Examining Authority	("IPEA") exce	pt that this doe	s not apply where the applicant chooses an	
that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.				
the applicant is invited to submit to the				
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.				
IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with anientanies, before the expired anientanies, before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.				
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.				
For turiner options, see Furth FC1/10FU22U.				
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/	220.			
		Authorized office	ner .	
Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US				
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US		Gregg Cantelmo		
Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450			car 222 1222	
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		Telephone No. 571-272-1283		

Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.	_
PCT/US05/01985	

Box No. I Basis of this opinion				
1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language.	ge in which			
it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and				
23.1(b)).2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	ary to the			
a. type of material				
a sequence listing	ļ			
table(s) related to the sequence listing				
b. format of material				
in written format				
in computer readable form				
c. time of filing/furnishing				
contained in international application as filed.				
filed together with the international application in computer readable form.				
furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating there filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identic the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	to has been al to that in			
4. Additional comments:				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/01985

HALLMAN		***	ative step or industrial
Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rul applicability; citations and expl	e 43 <i>bis</i> .1(a)(i) v	ith regard to novelty, myen ting such statement	ilive step of manserans
applicability; chations and expi	anations suppo-		
. Statement			
Navalty (NI)	Claims 1	-28	YES
Novelty (N)		IONE	
	0.0		
Inventive step (IS)	Claims N	IONE	YES
Inventive step (IS)	Claims 1	-28	NO
•			
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1	-28	YES
industrial applications (21)		NONE	
2. Citations and explanations:			
•			
Please See Continuation Sheet			
•			
	•		
			•
		·	
	•		

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (January 2004)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/01985

Supplemental Box	
Supplemental 20x	
In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.	
In case the space in any of the presenting some in the	

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

Claims 1-12, 17, and 21-28 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over U.S. patent No. 6,080,503 (Schmid) in view of U.S. patent Application Publication No. 2003/0199652 (Deviny).

Schmid discloses an electrochemical cell and method of making the cell comprising a first electrochemical cell component 11, a second electrochemical cell component 12 and a methacrylate sealant 50 disposed between the two components (see Figs. 3a and 5a as applied to claims 1, 21 and 22).

Components 11 and 12 can be electrically conductive plastic (col. 1, II. 34-41 as applied to claims 2-6).

The adhesive can be bonded to both cell components as shown in Figs. 3a and 5a or can be bonded to only one of the surfaces as shown in Fig. 3d as applied to claims 7 and 8).

Components 11 and 12 are flow field plates (Figs. 3a and 5a as applied to claims 9-10, 23 and 24).

The electrochemical cell is a fuel cell (col. 1, ll. 6-11 as applied to claims 20 and 28).

The differences between the instant claims and Schmid are that Schmid does not disclose providing a boron-containing initiator or of the specifics of the initiator and the methacrylate adhesive.

Deviny is drawn to methacrylate adhesives wherein a boron-containing initiator is provided to photocure the methacrylate adhesive. The sealant comprises both a methacrylate and a boron initiator (paragraphs [0040]-[0041] as applied to claims 1, 21 and 22).

The sealant of Deviny is can be either a mono-functional or poly-functional decomplexer (paragraph [0017] as applied to claims 11 and 25).

The polymerizable mononmer includes methyl(meth)acrylate (paragraph [0086] as applied to claims 12 and 26).

The boron initiator is an organoborane amine complex in combination with a poly-functional aziridine (paragraph [0080]-[0081] as applied to claims 17 and 27).

The motivation for using the combined methacrylate and boron initiator of Deviny is that it would have provided a sufficient means for curing and setting the adhesive resin between the flow plates of Schmid and thus effectively sealed the fuel cell as desired by Schmid.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to modify the teachings of Schmid by using the combined methacrylate and boron initiator of Deviny is that it would have provided a sufficient means for curing and setting the adhesive resin between the flow plates of Schmid and thus effectively sealed the fuel cell as desired by Schmid. Further one of ordinary skill in the art of using methacrylate sealants would have found the combination of a methacrylate with a boron initiator to have been an obvious combination for curing and setting the methacrylate adhesive and selection of any combination of materials for such purpose would have been readily apparent to one of ordinary skill in cured methacrylate adhesives.

Claims 13 and 26 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied to claims 1, 21 and 22 discussed above and further in view of WO 01/43960 (WO '960).

The teachings of claims 1, 21 and 22 have been discussed above and are incorporated herein.

The differences not yet discussed are of the polyfunctional (meth)acrylate.

Desirably, the sealant has a polymerizable component with a majority of

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/01985

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

polyfunctional (meth)acrylate esters (hereinafter, poly(meth)acrylate esters). These polyfunctional esters produce cross-linked polymers, which serve as effective and durable sealants, adhesives and coatings. While various

(meth)acrylate esters may be used, desirable poly(meth)acrylate esters are shown on pages 13-15 of WO '960. Use of polyfunctional (meth)acrylates provide cross-linking which serve as effective and durable sealants and adhesives.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to modify the teachings of Schmid by using the

Claims 14-16 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied to claim 1 discussed above and further in view of EP 1201722 A1 (EP '722).

The teachings of claim 1 have been discussed above and are incorporated herein.

The differences not yet discussed are of the boron-containing initiator being an alkyl-borohydride as defined in claims 14-16. EP '722 discloses that the use of alkyl borohydrides as defined in claims 14-16 are known polymerizing initiators in adhesive methacrylate compositions (abstract and paragraph [0030]).

The use of this initiator in methacrylate adhesives is shown to improve the bonding strength of the adhesive.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to modify the teachings of Schmid by using the alkyl borohydride initiator of EP '722 since it would have improved the bonding strength of the

Claim 18 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied to claim 1 discussed above and further in view of U.S. patent Application Publication No. 2004/0010099 (Kneafsey)

The teachings of claim 1 have been discussed above and are incorporated herein.

The differences not yet discussed are of the boron-containing initiator being an organoborane in combination with a polyfunctional aziridine as defined in claims 18.

Kneafsey discloses using an organoborane/polyaziridine complex initiator for adhesives which have the same structure as that defined in claim 18 (see abstract).

The use of this initiator in methacrylate adhesives is shown to improve the shearing and bonding strength of the adhesive.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to modify the teachings of Schmid by using the alkyl borohydride initiator of Kneafsey since it would have improved the shearing and bonding strength of the adhesive.

Claim 19 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over the prior art as applied to claim 1 discussed above and further in view of U.S. patent No. 6,803.330 (Sonnenschein).

The teachings of claim 1 have been discussed above and are incorporated herein.

The differences not yet discussed are of the boron-containing initiator being an that as defined in claim 19.

Sonnenschein discloses that the use of trialkyl boranes or alkyl cycloalkyl boranes and an amine as defined in claims 19 are known polymerizing initiators in adhesive methacrylate compositions (abstract and col. 4, ll. 4 through col. 8, ll. 67).

The use of this initiator in methacrylate adhesives is shown to improve the bonding strength of the adhesive.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the claimed invention was made to modify the teachings of Schmid by using the alkyl borohydride initiator of Sonnenschein since it would have improved the bonding strength of the adhesive.

Claims 1-28 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.